


FILED AT THE
FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION

May 10th, 2005

Marlene Dortch, Secretary
Federal Communications Commission
445 12th Street SW
Washington, DC 20554

RL
DOCKET FILE COPY ORIGINAL



Attn: Federal Communications Commission, FCC Secretary Marlene Dortch, et.al.

Please find enclosed 1 original and 4 copies of the following documents:

1. Ex-Parte Reply To Opposition of Ex-Parte Motion and Petition To Deny Long-Forms Filed By Participants In Auction No. 58; By Pro Se Bidder Ameer Flippin
2. Affidavit In Support of Ex-Parte Reply To Opposition.....

This is a manual filing via the U.S. Postmaster General. Additionally, these documents were filed on the ECFS system.

Thank you,
Ameer Flippin
Ameer Flippin, FRN#:0012356119
2053 Wilson Road
Memphis, TN 38116
(901)216-0195 cellular

No. of Copies rec'd
List ABCDE

0 + 4 = 7

In Re: Ameer Flippin

**Filed at the
FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION
Washington, DC 20554**

In the matter of: Auction No.58 pro se bidder, Ameer Flippin's Reply to Opposition by
Numerous Parties concerning the issue of licenses following a Petition
To Deny

AMEER FLIPPIN,
Pro Se Bidder/Plaintiff

vs.

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS
COMMISSION, et.al.
Respondents

WT Docket No.05-149
Report No. AUC-58

Appellate Case #:

RECEIVED & INSPECTED

MAY 16 2005

FCC - MAILROOM

**AFFIDAVIT IN SUPPORT OF EX-PARTE REPLY TO OPPOSITION OF EX-
PARTE MOTION AND PETITION TO DENY LONG-FORMS FILED BY
PARTICIPANTS IN AUCTION NO.58; BY PRO SE BIDDER AMEER FLIPPIN**

I, Ameer Flippin, a pro se bidder in Broadband PCS Auction No.58, do hereby affirm my
"Ex-Parte Reply To Opposition of Ex-Parte Motion and Petition To Deny Long-Forms."

The motion to deny long-forms was filed in an effort to stop the issuance of licenses.

Consideration should be given to the fact that there is a legitimate dispute as to which
entity was the actual "High & Winning Bidder" in Auction No.58 at the Federal

Communications Commission, the "Commission." Please note that a "Petition for
Review" has been filed in the U.S. Court of Appeals in the District of Columbia Circuit.

The qualifications of opposing participants have not been examined, although numerous
participants in Auction No.58 similarly reserved and executed Constitutional and legal
rights while dealing with the Federal Communications Commission. The "Commission"
is required by law to respond to all documents filed with the "Commission," especially
during an auction proceeding. Royal Street Communications "untruthfully" alleges that a
Short Form application was never filed by myself, Ameer Flippin, but goes on to include

**Filed at the
FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION
Washington, DC 20554**

RECEIVED & INSPECTED
MAY 16 2005
FCC - MAILROOM

documents in the appendix which give a timeline for all documents filed by myself,


“Ameer Flippin,” with the “Commission.” The electronic execution of service prior to a window for “Petitions To Deny” is normal protocol in the execution of service just prior to officially filing documents with the Federal Communications Commission. All required documents were timely filed with Federal Communications Commission Secretary Marlene Dortch, both electronically and manually, although some were filed on more than one occasion. A motion to the “Commission” executing Freedom of Information Rights will clarify all questions of filing implemented by Ameer Flippin. A Motion to Stay the Issuance of Licenses was filed both at the Federal Communications Commission and the Court of Appeals in the District of Columbia Circuit. The Petition To Deny Long-Forms indicated the entities which were in conflict with Ameer Flippin’s “High & Winning Bids.” Royal Street Communications and 20 other entities were listed where there was a conflict in High & Winning Bids. Motions and documents were filed with the ECFS system, but were not disclosed to the general public by the Federal Communications Commission. Public Notice DA 05-459 indicated when long-forms were accepted for filing. Royal Street Communications argues the issue of “interested party.” Ameer Flippin is undoubtedly an interested party in the sense that documents relating to Auction No. 58 were filed throughout the auction process. “Direct Injury” resulted upon incarceration, during a conspiracy orchestrated by the Executive Branch of the Federal government, in the middle of Auction No.58, which led to the resignations of several high ranking officials across numerous branches of government. The legal Constitutional standards applied do not require the disclosure of eligibility. Broadband PCS Auction No.58 was stylized for “Designated Entities – Small Companies” to

**Filed at the
FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION
Washington, DC 20554**

participate in the auction process. This affidavit is being filed in accordance with the guidelines of the Federal Communications Commission, the Federal Rules of Appellate Procedure, and the Supreme Court Rules of the United States of America.

I declare "UNDER PENALTY OF PERJURY" that the foregoing is true and correct.

EXECUTION DATE May 10th, 2005

SIGNATURE 
Pro Se Bidder, Ameer Flippin
2053 Wilson Road
Memphis, TN 38116
(901)216-0195